LIST OF ADDENDA

The following minor changes have been made to the report since its publication on 8 June 2012:

(1) Page 3, Table 2:
- Replaced “Maximum cost if all discretionary items adopted” with “Maximum discretionary cost”;
- Replaced “$573.1m” with “$566.1m”.

(2) Page 3, second paragraph:
Replaced this paragraph with “The provisional sums, if the maximum discretionary cost was adopted would increase the potential cost of the northern route by $20.7m to $566.1m, but would have no impact on the southern route.”

(3) Page 142, Section 7.3.3.9 Structures:
- Replaced $139.3m with $44.9m.

(4) Page 143, Section 7.3.3.13 Provisional items, paragraphs 2 and 3:
- Replaced “$25.9 million” with “$20.7 million”.

(5) Page 2, paragraph 3:
- Replaced “$693m” with “$711m”.

(6) Page 2, Table 1:
- Balance of construction costs, southern route – replaced “$98m” with “$118m”;
- Balance of construction costs, difference – replaced “-$53m” with “-$33m”;
- Project management and design, southern route – replaced “$89m” with “$86m”;
- Project management and design, difference – replaced “$21m” with “$18m”;
- Total, southern route – replaced “$693m” with “$711m”;
- Total, difference – replaced “$148m” with “$166m”.

(7) Page 3, Table 3:
- Total estimate, southern route – replaced “$693.3m” with “$710.7m”;
- Maximum discretionary cost – replaced “$693.3m” with $710.7m”.

(8) Page 3, paragraph 1:
- Inserted text “if the maximum amount was adopted would”;
- Replaced “$68.6m” with “$51.2m”;
- Replaced “$693.3m” with “$710.7m”.

(9) Page 125, Table 7.1:
- Balance of construction costs, southern route – replaced “$98m” with “$118m”;
- Balance of construction costs, difference – replaced “-$53m” with “-$33m”;
- Project management and design, southern route – replaced “$89m” with “$86m”;
- Project management and design, difference – replaced "$21m" with "$18m";
- Total, southern route – replaced "$693m" with "$711m";
- Total, difference – replaced "$148m" with "$166m".

(10) Page 135, Table 7.8:
- Concept development, sub-total, contingency amount – replaced "$802,562" with "$1,002,562";
- Total, contingency amount – replaced "$161,815,329" with "$162,015,329";
- Updated Note 3 – the word "where" was replaced with the word "because";
- Updated the date on the estimate summary sheet from "19/06/2012" to "27/06/2012".

(11) Page 136, Table 7.9:
- Concept development, sub-total, contingency amount – replaced "$930,200" with "$1,230,200";
- Total, contingency amount – replaced "$220,908,870" with "$221,208,870";
- Updated Note 3 – the word "where" was replaced with the word "because";
- Updated the date on the estimate summary sheet from "19/06/2012" to "27/06/2012";
- 1. Concept development, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced "0.62%" with "0.61%";
- 2. Detail design & documentation, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced "9.64%" with "9.40%";
- 3. Property acquisitions, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced "3.28%" with "3.20%";
- 4. Utility adjustments, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced "1.30%" with "1.27%";
- 5(d) Adjustment sums, base estimate (excluding contingency) – replaced "- $44,122,443" with "- $32,922,131";
- 5(d) Adjustment sums, contingency amount – replaced "- $24,429,488" with "- $18,228,156";
- 5(d) Adjustment sums, estimate (including contingency) – replaced "- $68,551,931" with "- $51,150,287";
- 5. Infrastructure construction, sub-total, base estimate (excluding contingency) – replaced "$383,324,390" with "$394,524,702";
- 5. Infrastructure construction, sub-total, contingency % – replaced "48%" with "49%";
- 5. Infrastructure construction, sub-total, contingency amount – replaced "$185,458,029" with "$191,659,360";
- 5. Infrastructure construction, sub-total, estimate (including contingency) – replaced "$568,782,419" with "$586,184,062";
5. Infrastructure construction, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “82.04%” with “82.48%”;
6. Finalisation, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “3.12%” with “3.04%”;
Total, base estimate (excluding contingency) – replaced “$472,066,577” with “$483,266,889”;
Total, contingency amount – replaced “$221,208,870” with “$227,410,202”;
Total, estimate (including contingency) – replaced “$693,275,447” with “$710,677,091”;
Total project estimate – replaced “$694,000,000” with “$711,000,000”;
Cost per km, base cost – replaced “$36,880,201” with “$37,755,226”;
Cost per km, estimate with contingency – replaced “$54,162,144” with “$55,521,648”;
Cost per lane-km, base cost – replaced “$9,220,050” with “$9,438,806”;
Cost per lane-km, estimate with contingency – replaced “$13,540,536” with “$13,880,412”.

Page 137, Table 7.11:
Earthworks, % amounts – replaced “34.3%” with “33.5%”;
Earthworks, % cumulative values – replaced “34%” with “33%”;
Structures, % amounts – replaced “26.5%” with “25.8%”;
Structures, % cumulative values – replaced “61%” with “59%”;
General, $ amounts – replaced “$108.0” with “$125.4”;
General, % amounts – replaced “15.6%” with “17.6%”;
General, $ cumulative values – replaced “$529.3” with “$546.7”;
General, % cumulative values – replaced “76%” with “77%”;
Pavements, % amounts – replaced “9.1%” with “8.9%”;  
Pavements, $ cumulative values – replaced “$592.4” with “$609.8”;
Pavements, % cumulative values – replaced “85%” with “86%”;
Miscellaneous, % amounts – replaced “3.1%” with “3.0%”;
Miscellaneous, $ cumulative values – replaced “$614.0” with “$631.4”;
Drainage, % amounts – replaced “3.0%” with “2.9%”;
Drainage, $ cumulative values – replaced “$634.6” with “$652.0”;
Urban design & landscape, % amounts – replaced “2.9%” with “2.8%”;
Urban design & landscape, $ cumulative values – replaced “$654.5” with “671.9”;
Urban design & landscape, % cumulative values – replaced “94%” with “95%”;
Environmental, % amount – replaced “2.3%” with “2.2%”;
Environmental, $ cumulative values – replaced “$670.5” with “$687.9”;

v
- Local roads, % amounts – replaced “1.7%” with “1.6%”;
- Local roads, $ cumulative values – replaced “$681.9” with “$699.3”;
- Public utility adjustments, % amounts – replaced “1.3%” with “1.2%”;
- Public utility adjustments, $ cumulative values – replaced “$690.8” with “$708.2”;
- Noise mitigation, $ cumulative values – replaced “$693.1” with “$710.5”;
- Flood mitigation, $ cumulative values – replaced “$693.3” with “$710.7”;
- Total, $ amounts – replaced “$693.3” with “$710.7”;
- Total, $ cumulative values – replaced “$554.6” with “$568.5”.

(13) Page 140, Table 7.12:
- Total adjustment sums, southern route – replaced “-$68.6” with “-$51.2”;
- Added Note 1 “Adjustment sums items HIGHLIGHTED are included in scope of item HIGHLIGHTED”;
- Note 3 – inserted “refers to”;
- Added Note 4 “The provisional sums of $15.8 million (split KVR interchange) and $5.2 million (off-ramp under interchange overbridge) are mutually exclusive.”

(14) Page 143, Section 7.3.3.13, paragraph 1 last sentence:
- Replaced the sentence with “Some of the adjustment sums have common scopes. If the maximum Adjustment Sums are realised the result is a cost saving of $51.2 million to the southern route.”

(15) Page 146:
- Replaced “$693m” with “$711m”.

(16) Appendix H, northern route:
- Separated provisional items section from the cost estimate;
- Note 3 – corrected from ‘amount from NOT incl’ to ‘amount not included’;
- Note 3 – replaced ‘where dvided’ with ‘because derived’;
- Updated the date on the infrastructure construction estimate summary from “19/06/2012” to “27/06/2012”;
- 5(d) Adjustment sums, comments/assumptions – inserted “Refer NOTE 1. below”;
- Updated Note 1 to “1. Adjustment Sums items HIGHLIGHTED are included in scope of item HIGHLIGHTED”;
- Inserted Note 2 “2. Provisional Sum amount NOT incl. in Project Estimate total because derived from a discretionary item currently under consideration”;
- 5(e) provisional sums, comments/assumptions - replaced “Refer NOTE 1. above” with “Refer NOTE 2. above”.

(17) Appendix H, southern route:
- Separated provisional items section from the cost estimate;
• Note 3 – corrected from ‘amount from NOT incl’ to ‘amount not included’;
• Note 3 – replaced ‘where drieved’ with ‘because derived’;
• Updated the date on the infrastructure construction estimate summary from “19/06/2012” to “27/06/2012”;
• 5(a) Base infrastructure, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “98.22%” with “95.14%”;
• 5(b) Environmental works, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “5.52%” with “5.34%”;
• 5(c) General activities, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “9.05%” with “8.76%”;
• 5(d) Adjustment sums, sub-total, base estimate (excluding contingency) – replaced “-$44,122,443” with “-$32,922,131”;
• 5(d) Adjustment sums, contingency amount – replaced “-$24,429,488” with “-$18,228,156”;
• 5(d) Adjustment sums, estimate (including contingency) – replaced “-$68,551,931” with “-$51,150,287”;
• 5(d) Adjustment sums, sub-total, % of total estimate – replaced “-12.79%” with “-9.24%”;
• 5(d) Adjustment sums, comments/assumptions – inserted “Refer NOTE 1. below”;
• Total, base estimate (excluding contingency) – replaced “$360,021,722” with “$371,222,034”;
• Total, contingency amount – replaced “$176,136,962” with “$182,338,293”;
• Total, estimate (including contingency) – replaced “$536,158,684” with “$553,560,328”;
• Total amount – replaced “$536,158,684” with “$553,560,328”;
• Updated Note 1 to “1. Adjustment Sums items HIGHLIGHTED are included in scope of item HIGHLIGHTED”;
• Inserted Note 2 “2. Provisional Sum amount NOT incl. in Project Estimate total because derived from a discretionary item currently under consideration”;
• 5(e) provisional sums, comments/assumptions - replaced “Refer NOTE 1. above” with “Refer NOTE 2. above”.