1. **Policy statement**

This policy is intended to assist delegated decision makers in carrying out their functions in ensuring that all persons holding or applying for an authority as a bus driver or an accreditation as a bus or community transport service operator are ‘fit and proper’ and of ‘good repute’. In this context, a person may be considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’ if they possess the necessary inherent characteristics, moral fibre, good character, honesty, values and reputation to enable Roads and Maritime to give effect to the objects of the *Passenger Transport Act 1990* and *2014* (*the Act*) and of any Regulations to those Acts, and to perform its functions as a government agency enabling safe and efficient journeys.

This policy notes that ‘fitness and propriety’ and ‘good repute’ are only two of several characteristics that a decision maker is required to take into account under the Act when considering whether a regulatory program participant should be refused entry, or an authority or accreditation suspended or cancelled. This policy does not deal with characteristics such as competence and medical fitness which are also required to be considered.

2. **Scope and coverage**

This policy is for use by Roads and Maritime staff administering any regulatory program in which a participant:

- operates a bus or community transport service, or
- drives a public bus.

Under clauses 7 and 8 of the *Passenger Transport (General) Regulation 2017* (the Regulation), Roads and Maritime may refuse to grant a public passenger operator accreditation where it is not satisfied that a person or nominated directors/managers of a body corporate are ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’. Under Clause 29 of the Regulation, Roads and Maritime may refuse to grant a bus driver authority where it is not satisfied that an applicant is ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’.

Similarly, Section 10 of the Act requires Roads and Maritime to cancel an operator accreditation where Roads and Maritime is satisfied that the holder is no longer ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’. Section 14 of the Act requires Roads and Maritime to cancel a bus driver authority where Roads and Maritime is satisfied that the holder is no longer ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’.

This policy is intended to provide guidance for assessing ‘fitness and propriety’ and ‘good repute’ with respect to:

- Applicants - when applying for a public passenger driver authority or public passenger operator accreditation, and;
- Participants - both when considering their ‘fitness and propriety’ to remain within a regulatory program and/or to hold a public passenger authority or public passenger operator accreditation generally.
3. **Purpose and intended outcomes**

Under the Passenger Transport Acts, Roads and Maritime accredits and authorises public passenger regulatory program participants. This policy is designed to reduce some of the risks associated with the programs, in particular ensuring that unsuitable persons are not involved in the bus and community transport industry. These risks may include harm or potential harm to members of the public, damage to property or reputation, and corrupt business practices.

This Policy, along with all supporting procedures, is not designed to be a discriminatory tool for the exclusion of specific regulatory program participants, but rather a guide to assist Roads and Maritime decision makers to apply a consistent standard in ensuring that regulatory program participants do not pose a risk to the public, or to Roads and Maritime in terms of its reputation or to carrying out its obligations.

4. **Policy**

Roads and Maritime has an obligation to members of the public using a public passenger service to ensure that both public passenger operators and drivers are both of ‘fit and proper’ and ‘good repute’ to participate in the regulatory program. ‘Fit and proper’ and ‘good repute’ are not terms that can be defined as they must be viewed subjectively in the context of the occupation being sought. The High Court of Australia, in the matter of the Australian Broadcasting Tribunal vs Bond (1990), found that in order to determine whether a person is ‘fit and proper’ to hold a particular licence, reference should be made to their “conduct, character and reputation”.

In the case of public passenger operators and drivers, conduct, character and reputation are scrutinised to provide assurance that operators and drivers can participate in the regulatory program in accordance with the regulatory program objectives.

With this in mind, Section 5 ‘Considerations’ provide a list of examples which may preclude a person from being considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’.

5. **Considerations leading to Refusal, Suspension or Cancellation or other sanction**

Any person seeking to be accredited by Roads and Maritime to operate a public passenger service or to be authorised by Roads and Maritime to drive a public passenger service must demonstrate that they can satisfy the considerations set out in this section before they can be considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’. Failure to meet these considerations should ordinarily result in a refusal or a suspension or cancellation from the program in cases where the decision maker determines that the objects of the Act cannot be met by the participant. These considerations are:

5.1 **Conflict of Interest**

5.1.1 A person must not be considered ‘Fit and Proper’ if it can be demonstrated that a conflict of interest exists.

5.2 **Criminal Record**

5.2.1 This part must be read in conjunction with Section 7 – ‘Irrelevant Considerations’ and Section 10 – Definitions (specifically the definition relating to spent convictions) If there is any confusion as to whether an offence is spent or not, the matter should be referred to Legal Branch for advice.

5.2.2 The fact of the existence of a criminal record is not of itself a reason to refuse or sanction. A previous offence must be looked at only in the context of whether it reasonably demonstrates that a participant is, or is not, ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’ to participate in the regulatory program.

5.2.3 A regulatory program participant is not considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’ under this policy if they have been convicted or found guilty of:
any offence relating to making false or misleading statements or declarations, including, but not limited to, perjury, benefits by deception, forgery, and failure to declare previous convictions;

any offence relating to tax fraud or evasion, or social security fraud;

any offence which can be categorised as involving dishonest, corrupt or unethical practices;

a serious driving offence including negligent, reckless or dangerous driving, driving with a prescribed concentration of alcohol, driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, menacing driving, a loss of traction offence, unlawful racing or a police pursuit;

an indictable criminal offence including:

i. Murder and/or Manslaughter;

ii. Attempted murder;

iii. A sexual assault or indecent assault of any kind;

iv. An assault or affray of any kind;

v. Any offence related to voyeurism or child abuse material;

vi. Any offence which has caused reckless or negligent danger to life or has caused bodily harm (including public safety matters such as arson);

vii. Theft and similar offences (including larceny, motor vehicle theft or embezzlement);

viii. Fraud, forgery, money laundering or dealing in the proceeds of crime;

ix. Identity offences;

tax. Provision of false or misleading information, obtaining benefit by deception, vehicle or vessel rebirthing.

xi. Supply or possession of prohibited drug, or the cultivation (for commercial purpose), supply or possession of a prohibited plant.

xii. Offences relating to firearms/weapons

Any interstate offence that is the equivalent of any of the above offences.

5.3 Current investigations and matters pending

5.3.1 A regulatory program participant may not be considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’ under this policy if they are:

- Currently under investigation by Roads and Maritime or another public authority (including interstate and international) in relation to fraud or corrupt activity. This is particularly relevant where Roads and Maritime is of the opinion that the applicant poses a significant risk to probity/governance.

- Charged by Police with an indictable offence that is a matter of assault of any kind, stalking or intimidating, or a matter involving any allegation of dishonesty, theft, fraud or other deception.

- Charged by Police with any offence committed in the context of driving a motor vehicle which has as a potential maximum penalty a period of imprisonment, or any offence committed while in the act of performing duties as a public passenger driver (for Driver only).

- Bankrupt (unless bankruptcy is discharged or annulled - for Operator only).
Note: Where a regulatory program participant is under investigation or has been charged (but not yet convicted), their ‘fitness and propriety’ or ‘good repute’ may be assessed as temporarily under question and the authority or accreditation suspended pending the outcome of the investigation.

Prior to suspending an operator accreditation, enquiries should be made as to whether this might have any consequences which would require the involvement of Transport for NSW in the decision – e.g. a ‘step-in contract’ for school transport.

5.4 Justified complaints

5.4.1 A regulatory program participant may not be considered ‘fit and proper’ or of ‘good repute’ under this policy if they have been the subject of repeated complaints by customers in relation to conduct under this, or any third party regulatory program, administered by Roads and Maritime, and Roads and Maritime has conducted an investigation and found those complaints to be justified on the balance of probabilities.

6. Exercising Discretion

It is particularly important when exercising discretion that action taken by Roads and Maritime is seen as both consistent and fair. In considering circumstances where the regulatory program participant has not met the considerations set out in section 5, the decision maker responsible for determining whether the person is ‘fit and proper’ and of ‘good character’ may take into account;

- The nature, seriousness and frequency of the offence(s).
- The nature, seriousness and frequency of previous offences where the applicant or participant has been convicted or found guilty.
- The nature, seriousness and frequency of previous complaints made against the applicant/participant.
- The time lapsed since the finding of guilt.
- The severity of any sentence imposed by the Court (e.g. a dismissal or non-conviction will be an indication the offence was considered trivial or technical in nature)
- The likelihood that the person will re-offend (including time since last offence, expressions of remorse, efforts made towards rehabilitation and any other relevant changes in the applicant/participant’s circumstances).
- The risk that the offence or condition poses to Roads and Maritime’s ethical, probity and governance obligations, and to its reputation.
- Other similar cases - where another applicant/participant has been assessed as ‘fit and proper’ or not (as the case may be) in similar circumstances. Note that these must be taken into account, especially as an applicant might obtain details of similar decisions under the Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009.

In addition to the above, every consideration must be assessed in the context of the services to be performed by the regulatory program participant. The relevance of the consideration to the act of operating or driving a public passenger service must be established (for instance a minor assault matter committed in the context of a domestic dispute – while not detracting from its seriousness - will not be as ‘relevant’ or ‘concerning’ to a decision maker in this context as the same assault committed in the carriage of public passenger services)

The steps taken by a decision maker in exercising their discretion to sanction (whether to refuse, exclude, suspend or cancel) a regulatory program participant (including criteria that the regulatory program participant has not met, reasoning behind the decision and any internal communications) must be documented and stored for future reference.

If necessary, the decision maker may decide that more information is required to make a decision. If so, the decision maker may request more information from the regulatory program participant. Failure by the regulatory program participant to provide requested additional information may be a factor which can be considered in determining if a person is of ‘fit and proper’ and/or ‘good repute’.
7. **Irrelevant Considerations**

The following factors should not be considered when determining if a person is ‘fit and proper’ and of ‘good repute’:

- The person’s race, ethnicity, religious beliefs or any attributes that are protected under the *Anti-Discrimination Act 1977* and/or the Commonwealth *Disability Discrimination Act 1992*.

- Spent convictions, as defined under the *Criminal Records Act 1991* (or equivalent interstate legislation), unless they are considered to be part of a pattern evidencing a disregard for the law or they may suggest the presence of a road or public safety risk.

- Minor regulatory breaches or summary offences, unless they are considered to be part of a pattern evidencing a disregard for the law or they may suggest the presence of a road or public safety risk.

8. **Responsibilities**

Director Program Management, Director Enrolment and Director Compliance are responsible for ensuring that this policy is considered in the scope of their respective staff’s duties.

Senior Manager Regulatory Policy is responsible for reviewing the policy at the nominated review date. It is the responsibility of the reviewing officer to seek input, from all branches using the policy and from Legal Branch, to ensure that the policy is sound.

Senior Manager Regulatory Program Improvement is responsible to identify any operational process improvements in the application of this policy.

Manager Enrolment Services and Senior Manager Enforcement Adjudication are responsible for the application of this policy when decision making, to ensure that all persons accredited as a public passenger operator or authorised as a public passenger driver are considered ‘fit and proper’ and of ‘good repute’.

9. **Evaluation**

The policy will be reviewed every two years or in the event of legislation or regulation amendments to determine whether it still meets Roads and Maritime’s obligations. The review should focus on including additional criteria which may identify regulatory program participants that do not meet the ‘fit and proper’ criteria and in evaluating the current criteria to ensure that they are still relevant.

Evaluation should also include a review of regulatory program participants who have been refused or removed from the regulatory programs relevant to this policy. These should be assessed for common themes leading to the administrative sanction taken and any such themes considered for inclusion into the policy.

10. **Definitions**

**Delegated Person** – Any Roads and Maritime staff member with delegated authority, involved in the management of the above regulatory programs and tasked with specific roles in relation to that regulatory program.

**Public Official** – A regulatory program participant who enters into a service agreement with, and is appointed to exercise authority on behalf of, the NSW State Government.

**Spent Convictions** – A conviction is considered ‘spent’ where it meets criteria as defined under the *Criminal Records Act 1991*, or relevant interstate legislation.

**Public Passenger Service** – Means the carriage of passengers for a fare or other consideration by motor vehicle (other than a light rail vehicle) along a road or road related area, or along the whole or part of a transitway route.

**Regulatory Program participant** – a person who is an applicant for, or the holder of, a Public Passenger Accreditation or driver authority under the *Passenger Transport Act 1990 or 2014*. 
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